

### REMARKS

In the Office Action of May 2, 2005, claims 8-11, 14, 15, 23-26, 30 and 31 were indicated to be in condition for allowance if rewritten in independent form. Claims 1, 5-7, 12, 16, 20-22, and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over admitted prior art and Jones. Claims 13, 27 and 29 were rejected over the prior art in view of Jones and Wakefield; and claims 2-4, 17 and 19 were rejected over the admitted prior art in view of Jones and Nakam. Claims 5, 6 and 29 were objected to for informalities.


The claims have been amended to incorporate the limitations of allowed claim 8 into independent claim 1, of allowed claim 14 into independent claim 13, of allowed claim 23 into independent claim 16 and of allowed claim 30 into independent claim 29. Claims 8, 14, 23 and 30 have been cancelled. Claims 5, 6 and 29 have been revised. As a result, it is believed that all of the claims remaining in this application are patentable and in condition for allowance.

In view of the forgoing remarks, the claims in this application are believe to be in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite prosecution of this application, he is invited to call applicants' attorney at the number given below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0310 for all required fees for this reply and any further reply requiring a petition for extension of time for its timely submission. A copy of this sheet is enclosed for such purpose.

Date: August 4, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Francis E. Morris  
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10178  
(212) 309-6632

24,615  
(Reg. No.)